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**1. Abstract of Spiegel, Christian Ethics, Session 17, Drug Legalization, Biblicalelearning.org, BeL**  
  
This session of a Christian Ethics course, led by Dr. James Spiegel, explores the complex issue of drug legalization. It begins by outlining the history of the War on Drugs in the United States and noting current trends in marijuana legalization. The lecture then presents arguments for and against legalizing hard drugs, attributed to figures like Milton Friedman and William Bennett. Finally, it examines ethical considerations from a Christian perspective, including arguments against drug use based on individual well-being, potential for sloth and narcissism, and the risks associated with lawlessness and bad company.

**2. 23 - minute Audio Podcast Created on the basis of   
Dr. Spiegel, Christian Ethics, Session 17 – Double click icon to play in Windows media player or go to the Biblicalelearning.org [BeL] Site and click the audio podcast link there (Theology 🡪 Apologetics 🡪 Christian Ethics).**



**3. Briefing Document: Spiegel, Christian Ethics, Session 17, Drug Legalization**
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**Briefing Document: Drug Legalization - Perspectives and Arguments**

**Executive Summary:**

This briefing document summarizes the main themes and arguments presented by Dr. James S. Spiegel in his lecture on Christian Ethics, Session 17, focusing on the topic of drug legalization. The lecture explores the history of the War on Drugs, current legalization trends (specifically for marijuana), and delves into the arguments for and against the legalization of harder drugs. Notably, it highlights the economic arguments of Milton Friedman in favor, the cautionary arguments of William Bennett against, and a unique libertarian-based ethical argument against marijuana legalization presented by Tim Schau. Finally, Dr. Spiegel offers several ethical considerations against drug use from a Christian perspective, including the body as a temple of the Holy Spirit, the problem of sloth, narcissism, lawlessness, and the dangers of bad company.

**Main Themes and Important Ideas:**

1. **Historical Context of Drug Prohibition:**

* The "War on Drugs" was initiated by President Richard Nixon in 1969 with the first comprehensive federal effort to prevent drug abuse.
* President Reagan created the Office of National Drug Control Policy in 1988, and the "drug czar" position was elevated to a cabinet level by President Clinton in 1993.
* Significant federal funds are spent annually on drug interdiction and education.
* In 2015, there were approximately 1.5 million drug arrests in the U.S., with about a third of offenders incarcerated.
* Around 40% of drug arrests in 2015 were marijuana-related.
* As of 2016, approximately 450,000 drug offenders were in U.S. prisons, a large percentage of the total prisoner population (2.2 million).

1. **Current Legalization Landscape (Marijuana):**

* As of 2020, recreational marijuana use was legal in about a dozen states (Alaska, California, Colorado, Illinois, Maine, Massachusetts, Michigan, Nevada, Oregon, Vermont, Washington, and the District of Columbia).
* Medical marijuana use was legal in an additional 23 states.

1. **Arguments for Legalization (Focus on Milton Friedman):**

* **Decrease in Narco-terrorism:** Legalization would undermine the black market, reducing the massive profits that fuel drug lords and associated violence. Friedman believed that "illegality is what fosters the black market, which leads to huge profits for drug lords, and all sorts of violence is associated with that."
* **Reduced Drug Use (Forbidden Fruit Effect):** The prohibition ironically makes drugs more appealing due to their forbidden nature. Friedman argued that "illegality encourages drug use, ironically, through something called the forbidden fruit effect. It's more attractive to a lot of people just because it's forbidden and it's illegal."
* **Reduction in Drug-Associated Crimes:** Legalization would lower the cost of drugs, diminishing the need for users to commit crimes like theft and murder to obtain them.
* **Financial Savings:** Legalization would save billions of dollars spent annually on the War on Drugs.

1. **Arguments Against Legalization (Focus on William Bennett and James Q. Wilson):**

* **Increased Drug Abuse:** Bennett argued that legalization would lead to a significant increase in drug consumption, drawing a parallel to the increase in alcohol consumption after the repeal of Prohibition, noting that "the consumption of alcohol increased some 350% after the repeal of the prohibition in the early 30s." Wilson echoed this, stating legalization would cause "an explosion of drug abuse, as would cutting the price of any commodity by 95%."
* **Persistence of Illegal Underground Markets:** Bennett contended that heavy taxes on legal drugs would create an incentive for a black market to undersell the legal products, similar to the black market for tobacco. He argued, "Legalization will not eliminate the illegal underground drug market...with legalization will come...very heavy taxes that will inflate the price, the sales price, of illegal drugs. And what drives a black market, even when it comes to legal goods like tobacco, is the incentive to undersell the product as it's sold in various stores."
* **Continued Drug-Related Crimes:** High prices, even with legalization, and the desperation of addicted individuals would still lead to crime. Bennett stated, "legalization will not end drug-related crimes. Again, because the price will be so inflated, and as people get addicted to drugs like crystal meth and opiates, they are often desperate to get these drugs. And if they don't have the money to buy them, then they will resort to violence and theft."
* **No Real Financial Gains:** Increased addiction rates and the need for government funding to address addiction treatment would offset any savings from reduced interdiction costs.
* **Harm to Others:** Wilson argued that drug abuse is not a victimless crime, emphasizing the suffering of "children and spouses of addicts."

1. **Nadelman's Pro-Legalization Arguments:**

* Interdiction efforts have been largely ineffective in controlling the drug trade and drug abuse.
* Anti-drug law enforcement often harms users more than dealers.

1. **Tim Schau's Libertarian Argument Against Marijuana Legalization:**

* Schau argues that invoking liberty to justify marijuana legalization is "self-defeating."
* His core argument is that marijuana disrupts one's ability to think coherently, and the state has an interest in protecting the conditions necessary for rational thought, which is essential for the effective use of freedom.

1. **Premises:**A key responsibility of the state is to protect individual freedom.
2. In order to effectively use one's freedom, one must be rational since personal agency is dependent on rationality.
3. Rational thought requires proper cognitive function.
4. Marijuana disrupts cognitive function and, therefore, undermines rational thought.
5. Therefore, the state has a responsibility to restrict marijuana use.

* Schau addresses objections, such as the libertarian focus on coercion by third parties, arguing that the intoxicating drug itself acts as a "threatening third party," akin to "selling yourself into cognitive slavery."
* He differentiates marijuana from unhealthy foods by stating that "unhealthy foods do not directly diminish one's ability to think or reason properly," while "the primary purpose of marijuana...is to impair cognition."
* He distinguishes between restricting substances and restricting ideas by asserting that "the state only has an interest in protecting the conditions that are necessary for the liberty of choosing one's beliefs, not the content of those beliefs."
* Regarding alcohol, Schau argues that it can be used for purposes other than intoxication ("as a social lubricant") and that "you don't need to alter your cognitive state necessarily when you drink alcohol." Dr. Spiegel adds the point about the aesthetic value of alcohol, contrasting it with marijuana.

1. **Christian Ethical Considerations Against Drug Use (Dr. Spiegel's Observations):**

* **The Body as a Temple of the Holy Spirit:** Drawing from the Apostle Paul's observation, Dr. Spiegel emphasizes that "Your body is a precious, sacred thing, and to respect your body is to respect God indirectly. So what you put into your body...is a way of reflecting your respect for God. Do I want to pollute my body, this temple of the Holy Spirit, by taking drugs?" He recommends Nancy Piercy's book "Love Thy Body" in this context.
* **The Problem of Sloth:** Based on personal experience in a drug underworld, Dr. Spiegel observed a strong association between chronic marijuana use and "laziness, sloth, and lack of industry." He acknowledges counterarguments (like Paul McCartney's success despite marijuana use) but questions the potential for even greater achievement without it.
* **Narcissism:** Drug use, particularly the preoccupation with getting high, can foster "self-absorption" and a narcissistic mindset focused on altering one's own mental state.
* **Lawlessness:** Engaging in illegal drug use can desensitize one's conscience towards breaking other laws and lead to a negative perception of law enforcement. Dr. Spiegel recounts his own experience of escalating law-breaking behavior as a regular marijuana user, illustrating the idea that "you don't miss a slice from a cut loaf of bread."
* **Bad Company and Character Corruption:** Involvement in illegal drug activities increases the risk of associating with dangerous individuals and becoming involved in other illegal and potentially life-threatening situations. Dr. Spiegel shares a personal anecdote of feeling "in over our heads" when trying to procure marijuana, highlighting the dangers of the drug underworld.

1. **Medical Marijuana:**

* Schau acknowledges the potential for "legitimate, redemptive application or use of marijuana" for medical needs.
* He argues that medical marijuana use is likely "more rare than it might seem" but agrees that it is appropriate when medically necessary, albeit with proper regulation, similar to other medicines.

**Conclusion:**

Dr. Spiegel's lecture provides a comprehensive overview of the complex issue of drug legalization, exploring various perspectives from economic, philosophical (libertarian), and Christian ethical standpoints. The arguments presented highlight the potential benefits and significant risks associated with legalization, particularly concerning harder drugs. The discussion emphasizes the need to consider not only individual liberty but also the potential societal consequences, the impact on cognitive function, and the moral implications of drug use. The lecture concludes with a strong emphasis on Christian ethical principles that often argue against recreational drug use.
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Top of Form

**Drug Legalization: A Study Guide**

**Quiz:**

1. What event and which president are associated with the beginning of the "War on Drugs" in the United States? Briefly describe the initial aim of this effort.
2. According to the provided text, what percentage of drug arrests in 2015 were related to marijuana, and what was the approximate number of drug offenders in U.S. prisons as of 2016 compared to the total prison population?
3. Summarize two of Milton Friedman's key arguments in favor of the legalization of all recreational drugs.
4. According to William Bennett, why would legalizing drugs likely not eliminate the illegal underground drug market, even with legalization?
5. What is James Q. Wilson's primary argument against drug legalization, and what point does he make regarding the idea that drug abuse is a victimless crime?
6. Explain the central premise of Tim Schau's argument against marijuana legalization, focusing on the concept of individual freedom.
7. How does Tim Schau respond to the libertarian objection that marijuana use is a self-regarding act and does not involve coercion from a third party?
8. What is one of the key distinctions Tim Schau makes between marijuana and unhealthy foods in response to the objection that his argument could lead to banning unhealthy eating?
9. From a Christian perspective, as presented in the text, what is the significance of the body in relation to drug use, and what does this imply about personal choices regarding substances?
10. Describe Dr. Spiegel's observation regarding the association between chronic marijuana use and a particular negative trait he witnessed firsthand.

**Quiz Answer Key:**

1. President Richard Nixon first used the term "War on Drugs" in 1969 when implementing the first comprehensive federal effort to prevent drug abuse. The initial aim was to combat drug abuse through federal intervention.
2. Approximately 40% of drug arrests in 2015 were related to marijuana. As of 2016, there were approximately 450,000 drug offenders in U.S. prisons out of a total of 2.2 million prisoners, indicating a significant percentage.
3. Milton Friedman argued that legalization would decrease narco-terrorism by eliminating the black market and the associated violence. He also believed that illegality ironically encourages drug use through the "forbidden fruit effect."
4. William Bennett argued that legalization would lead to heavy taxes, similar to tobacco, which would inflate the price of legal drugs. This price inflation would then create an incentive for an illegal underground market to undersell the legal product.
5. James Q. Wilson argued that legalization would cause a large increase in drug abuse due to the drastic reduction in price. He also contended that drug abuse is not a victimless crime, as it significantly harms the children and spouses of addicts.
6. Tim Schau argues that it is self-defeating for libertarians to invoke liberty to justify marijuana legalization because marijuana disrupts one's ability to think coherently. He posits that rational thought is essential for effectively using one's freedom.
7. Schau argues that when a person uses marijuana, the intoxicating drug itself acts as the threatening third party, undermining the user's cognitive freedom. He compares this to selling oneself into cognitive slavery, which even libertarians would oppose.
8. Schau distinguishes marijuana from unhealthy foods by stating that the primary purpose of marijuana is to directly impair cognition, whereas unhealthy foods do not directly diminish one's ability to think or reason properly.
9. From a Christian perspective, the body is considered a temple of the Holy Spirit, making it sacred. This implies that respecting one's body through healthy choices, including avoiding harmful substances like drugs, is a way of respecting God.
10. Dr. Spiegel observed an association between chronic marijuana use and sloth, laziness, and a lack of industry among his acquaintances who regularly used the drug. He noted a lack of interest in creative or constructive activities.

**Essay Format Questions:**

1. Compare and contrast the arguments for and against drug legalization presented by Milton Friedman and William Bennett. In your response, consider the economic, social, and criminal justice implications highlighted by each perspective.
2. Analyze Tim Schau's argument against marijuana legalization from a libertarian perspective. Evaluate the strengths and weaknesses of his claim that restricting marijuana use is necessary to protect individual freedom.
3. Discuss the various objections raised against Tim Schau's argument and his responses to them. In your opinion, are his rebuttals effective in defending his position against marijuana legalization?
4. Based on the provided text, explore the ethical considerations of drug legalization from a Christian theological viewpoint. Consider the arguments related to the body as a temple, potential for sloth and narcissism, and the impact on lawfulness and community.
5. Evaluate the different perspectives presented in the text regarding the potential consequences of drug legalization on crime rates and the financial costs associated with drug enforcement and addiction treatment. Which arguments do you find most persuasive and why?

**Glossary of Key Terms:**

* **Drug Legalization:** The process of removing legal prohibitions against the production, sale, and possession of certain drugs.
* **War on Drugs:** A term popularized by President Richard Nixon to describe a comprehensive federal effort to prevent drug abuse through law enforcement, interdiction, and education.
* **Narco-terrorism:** Terrorism associated with drug trafficking, often involving violence and intimidation to control drug markets.
* **Forbidden Fruit Effect:** The psychological phenomenon where something becomes more attractive simply because it is prohibited or unavailable.
* **Drug Czar:** The head of the Office of National Drug Control Policy in the United States, responsible for coordinating federal drug control efforts.
* **Libertarianism:** A political philosophy that emphasizes individual liberty and minimal government intervention, generally advocating for maximum personal freedom.
* **Self-regarding Act:** An action that primarily affects the person engaging in the act and does not directly harm others.
* **Cognitive Function:** The mental processes involved in thinking, learning, remembering, and reasoning.
* **Reductio ad Absurdum:** A method of argument that attempts to disprove a statement by showing that it leads logically to a ridiculous or contradictory conclusion.
* **Sloth:** Habitual disinclination to exertion; laziness.
* **Narcissism:** Excessive interest in or admiration of oneself and one's physical appearance.
* **Interdiction:** The act of prohibiting or hindering; in the context of drugs, it refers to efforts to stop the flow of illegal substances.
* **Personal Agency:** The capacity of an individual to act independently and make their own free choices.
* **Rationality:** The quality of being based on or in accordance with reason or logic.
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**5. FAQs on Spiegel, Christian Ethics, Session 17, Drug Legalization, Biblicalelearning.org (BeL)**  
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**Drug Legalization: An Ethical Examination**

**1. What is the historical context of drug prohibition in the United States, and what are some key statistics related to drug arrests and incarceration?** President Richard Nixon first used the term "War on Drugs" in 1969, marking the beginning of a comprehensive federal effort to combat drug abuse. This effort was further solidified by President Reagan, who created the Office of National Drug Control Policy in 1988, with the head of this office later elevated to a cabinet position under President Clinton. The "War on Drugs" involves substantial federal spending on interdiction and education. In 2015, there were approximately 1.5 million drug arrests in the U.S., with about a third of those arrested being incarcerated. Notably, around 40% of these arrests were marijuana-related. As of 2016, approximately 450,000 individuals were incarcerated for drug offenses, representing a significant portion of the total U.S. prison population of 2.2 million.

**2. What are some of the main arguments in favor of legalizing drugs like marijuana, cocaine, and heroin, as presented by figures like Milton Friedman and Nadelman?** Proponents of drug legalization, such as economist Milton Friedman, argue that it would decrease narco-terrorism by dismantling the black market that fuels drug lord profits and associated violence. They also suggest that legalization could reduce drug use by eliminating the "forbidden fruit effect," where the illegality of a substance makes it more appealing. Furthermore, Friedman posited that legalizing drugs would lower drug-associated crimes like theft and murder due to reduced costs, and it would save billions of dollars currently spent on drug enforcement. Nadelman adds that interdiction efforts have been largely ineffective in controlling the drug trade and abuse, making legalization and regulation a more sensible approach. He also argues that current anti-drug law enforcement often harms users more than dealers and that substances like marijuana and opium are easily grown, making prohibition difficult.

**3. What are some of the primary arguments against drug legalization, as voiced by individuals like William Bennett and James Q. Wilson?** Opponents of drug legalization, such as former "drug czar" William Bennett, contend that legalization would lead to a significant increase in drug abuse, drawing a comparison to the surge in alcohol consumption after the repeal of Prohibition. Bennett also argues that legalization would not eliminate the illegal underground drug market because heavy taxation on legal drugs would create an incentive for a cheaper black market. Additionally, he believes that drug-related crimes would persist due to the desperation of addicts who may not be able to afford legally taxed drugs. Finally, Bennett suggests that any financial gains from reduced interdiction costs would be offset by increased costs associated with treating addiction and managing drug-related issues. James Q. Wilson echoed the concern of an "explosion" of drug abuse if prices drastically decreased due to legalization and emphasized that drug abuse is not a victimless crime, highlighting the suffering of addicts' families.

**4. How does Christian ethicist Tim Schau, even from a libertarian perspective, argue against the legalization of marijuana?** Tim Schau presents a novel argument against marijuana legalization, even appealing to libertarian principles. He posits that a key responsibility of the state is to protect individual freedom, which requires rational thought, as personal agency depends on rationality. Since rational thought necessitates proper cognitive function, and marijuana disrupts cognitive function, Schau concludes that the state has a responsibility to restrict marijuana use. He argues that invoking liberty to justify marijuana legalization is self-defeating because the drug impairs the very cognitive abilities necessary for the effective exercise of freedom. He likens marijuana use to "selling yourself into cognitive slavery," which even libertarians might oppose as fundamentally undermining autonomy.

**5. How does Schau address objections to his argument, such as comparisons to unhealthy foods or the banning of certain ideas and the legality of alcohol?** Schau addresses the objection that his reasoning would necessitate banning unhealthy foods by arguing that, unlike marijuana, their primary purpose is not to directly diminish cognitive ability. He contends that the primary purpose of marijuana is to impair cognition. Regarding the concern that his argument could lead to the outlawing of certain ideas, Schau responds that the state's interest lies in protecting the conditions necessary for the liberty of choosing one's beliefs, not the content of those beliefs themselves. Concerning alcohol, Schau argues that while it can be an intoxicant, it is often used for other purposes not necessarily involving intoxication, such as social interaction or aesthetic enjoyment with food, unlike marijuana, where the primary aim is to achieve a high and alter cognitive state. He also acknowledges the aesthetic dimension associated with alcohol, which is less evident with marijuana.

**6. From a Christian theological perspective, what are some ethical concerns regarding drug use, particularly marijuana, even where it might be legally permissible?** From a Christian perspective, several ethical concerns arise regarding drug use. One is the concept of the body as a temple of the Holy Spirit, suggesting that respecting one's body through healthy habits, including abstaining from harmful substances, is a way of honoring God. The issue of sloth is also raised, with observations suggesting a correlation between chronic marijuana use and a lack of industry, creativity, and engagement in constructive activities. Furthermore, drug use can foster narcissism and self-absorption, with individuals becoming overly focused on their altered mental states. In contexts where drug use is illegal, it involves lawlessness, potentially leading to a deadening of conscience and increased engagement in other illegal behaviors. Finally, involvement in illegal drug use can lead to association with "bad company," increasing the risk of involvement in other dangerous and corrupting activities.

**7. How does the speaker's personal experience inform his perspective on the potential negative consequences of drug use, particularly marijuana?** The speaker draws upon his own past involvement in a drug underworld before his conversion to Christianity to illustrate the potential negative consequences of drug use. He personally witnessed and experienced the association of chronic marijuana use with laziness and a lack of ambition among his peers and in his own life. His experiences also highlighted how involvement in illegal drug use can normalize law-breaking behavior, leading to engagement in other criminal activities and a negative perception of law enforcement. Additionally, his pursuit of drugs led him into dangerous situations involving individuals higher up in the drug distribution network, underscoring the risks associated with the criminal element inherent in illegal drug markets.

**8. What distinction does Schau make regarding medical marijuana use, and how does he view its regulation?** Tim Schau acknowledges a legitimate, redemptive application for medical marijuana use. He argues that prescribing marijuana for genuine medical needs is appropriate but, like any other medicine or drug, it requires regulation. While willing to concede the legitimate use of marijuana in certain medical cases, he suggests that the actual medical need for it might be less prevalent than commonly perceived. His stance indicates a nuanced view that distinguishes between recreational use, which he strongly opposes based on its impairment of cognitive function, and regulated medical use for specific health conditions.
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