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 **1. Abstract of Spiegel, Christian Ethics, Session 15, Capital Punishment, Biblicalelearning.org, BeL**

**Dr. James S. Spiegel's Christian Ethics session on capital punishment** begins by examining key **legal cases** in the US, such as *Furman v. Georgia* and *Gregg v. Georgia*, that shaped the constitutionality of the death penalty. The session then explores **philosophical theories of punishment**, including deterrentism, reformativism, and retributivism, and their application to capital punishment through utilitarian, Kantian, and natural law ethics. Arguments **for and against capital punishment** are presented through the perspectives of proponents like Ernest Van Den Haag and critics like Hugo Bedau, addressing issues such as unequal application, potential for error, deterrence, and cost. Finally, the discussion turns to **biblical arguments**, examining Old and New Testament passages and considering themes of justice, mercy, and procedural requirements.

**2. 27 - minute Audio Podcast Created on the basis of
Dr. Spiegel, Christian Ethics, Session 15 – Double click icon to play in Windows media player or go to the Biblicalelearning.org [BeL] Site and click the audio podcast link there (Theology 🡪 Apologetics 🡪 Christian Ethics).**



**3. Briefing Document: Spiegel, Christian Ethics, Session 15, Capital Punishment**
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**Briefing Document: Analysis of "Christian Ethics, Session 15, Capital Punishment"**

**Prepared for:** [Intended Audience - e.g., Internal Review, Personal Study]

**Overview:** This briefing document summarizes the main themes and arguments presented by Dr. James S. Spiegel in Session 15 of his Christian Ethics course, focusing on the topic of capital punishment. The session begins with a review of key US legal cases, then explores philosophical and theological perspectives on the morality of the death penalty, presenting arguments both for and against its justification.

**Key Themes and Ideas:**

**1. Legal History of Capital Punishment in the US:**

* **Furman v. Georgia (1972):** Ruled the death penalty as then administered was unconstitutional as cruel and unusual punishment due to inconsistencies in its application. The methods at the time included electrocution, gas chamber, hanging, and firing squad. Notably, the 5-4 majority had five different concurring opinions.
* Quote: "In 1972, the legal case Furman v. Georgia ruled that the death penalty, as it was then administered, was unconstitutional in a case of cruel and unusual punishment."
* **Gregg v. Georgia (1976):** Reinstated the death penalty, arguing it is not necessarily unconstitutional as it may align with standards of decency, serve as a deterrent, and is not inherently arbitrarily applied.
* Quote: "In 1976, there was another Supreme Court decision, Gregg v. Georgia, where the court ruled that the death penalty is not necessarily unconstitutional for a number of reasons. One, it may accord with standards of decency, it may serve as a deterrent, and it's not arbitrarily applied."
* **McCluskey v. Kemp (1987):** Upheld the constitutionality of the death penalty despite statistical evidence showing racial disparities in its application (killers of whites being more likely to be executed than killers of blacks).
* Quote: "In McCluskey v. Kemp in 1987, the court ruled that the death penalty is not unconstitutional despite the fact that killers of whites are much more likely to be executed than killers of blacks."
* **Statistics (1976-2019):** Presented data on US executions post-Gregg v. Georgia, highlighting racial disparities between those executed (56% white, 34% black, 9% Hispanic) and the victims (76% white, 15% black, 7% Hispanic), as well as the small number of women executed (16).
* Quote: "Of those executed, 56% were white, 34% were black, and 9% Hispanic. The victims of these crimes, 76% were white, 15% black, and 7% Hispanic. Among those executed, only 16 were female."

**2. General Theories of Punishment:**

* **Definition of Punishment:** Defined as "pain or harm inflicted by a rightful authority on a person who has been judged guilty of violating a law or rule" (Olin and Berry). This definition is considered applicable across various contexts.
* Quote: "They define punishment generally as pain or harm inflicted by a rightful authority on a person who has been judged guilty of violating a law or rule."
* **Deterrentism:** Punishment aims to deter wrongful behavior in both the offender and potential future offenders.
* Quote: "The deterrentist says that punishment is given to deter wrongful behavior by both behavior by the particular offender in a given case, someone who's being punished, and others who might be tempted to commit the same sort of crime or wrongful action."
* **Reformativism (Rehabilitationism):** Punishment seeks to rehabilitate the offender into a productive, law-abiding member of society.
* Quote: "The reformativist or the rehabilitationist says that punishment is intended to rehabilitate the offender to make them a productive, law-abiding member of society."
* **Retributivism:** Punishment is primarily deserved by the offender as a fair and just response that respects both the offender's autonomy and the victim's value. It doesn't necessarily exclude deterrent or reformative effects.
* Quote: "Retributivism, which is the view that punishment is given because the offender deserves it primarily. It's a fair and just response that respects the autonomy of the offender as well as the value of the victim."

**3. Moral Theories and Capital Punishment:**

* **Utilitarianism:** Justifies or condemns capital punishment based on its benefits or harms to society, emphasizing deterrence (as rehabilitation is impossible with execution).
* **Kantian Ethics:** Can justify capital punishment based on personal autonomy, arguing that by taking a life, the offender chooses to forfeit their own and wills their execution. This aligns with Hegel's defense.
* Quote: "Many Kantians will argue that way, which is also in keeping with the way the philosopher Hegel defended capital punishment, that basically, you have someone who's chosen to take another life, and in doing so, they are also choosing to die themselves."
* **Natural Law and Moral Theology:** Views on capital punishment within this tradition differ, with arguments based on the sanctity of life leading to both justification and condemnation.

**4. Arguments For and Against Capital Punishment (Philosophical):**

* **Against (Hugo Bedau):Unequal Distribution:** The death penalty is unjustly applied due to racial bias.
* Quote: "A major critic of capital punishment is Hugo Badal, who highlights the problem of unequal distribution... the injustice in the fact that killers of white people are more likely to receive the death penalty than killers of minorities."
* **Miscarriages of Justice:** Innocent people are inevitably executed, a tragic and irreversible error. Estimated at about four innocent people convicted of murder per year.
* Quote: "Another argument that Badal makes appeals to this problem of miscarriages of justice because some innocent people are put to death."
* **Lack of Deterrence:** There is no conclusive statistical evidence that capital punishment is a more effective deterrent than life imprisonment without parole.
* Quote: "The question is whether capital punishment is a better deterrent than, say, life in prison without parole. And that has not been demonstrated."
* **High Cost:** The extensive due process and appeals associated with capital punishment make it a significant financial burden on the justice system.
* Quote: "Applying the death penalty is a huge financial burden, at least in our society, where we have due process under the law."
* **For (Ernest Van Den Haag):Response to Unequal Distribution:** Unequal application does not invalidate the justice of the penalty itself; it necessitates fairer application.
* Quote: "His response to this argument is that unequal application of the death penalty doesn't mean that capital punishment per se is unjust. It just shows that we need to apply this more carefully..."
* **Response to Miscarriages of Justice:** Innocent deaths occur in other societal activities (e.g., transportation, construction), but we don't abolish those activities. It's an unfortunate consequence.
* Quote: "Van Den Haag's reply to this is there are many human activities where innocent people die, but we don't stop those activities because of that."
* **Response to Lack of Deterrence:** Common sense suggests greater penalties deter more. However, the primary justification for capital punishment is justice (retribution), not deterrence. Punishment should equal the crime.
* Quote: "Van Den Haag's reply appeals to basic facts about human psychology. He says experience shows the greater the threat and penalty, the more it deters... the main argument in favor of capital punishment is not deterrence or any other good consequence. It's about justice."
* **Response to High Cost:** The cost of life imprisonment (housing and feeding inmates for decades) should also be considered and may be comparable to or even greater than the costs associated with the death penalty in some cases.
* Quote: "Van Den Haag responds to that by saying that, again, we need to keep in view the alternative and the cost of keeping someone in prison for 20, 30, 40, 50 years and feeding and housing them in a prison. That is not cheap..."

**5. Arguments For and Against Capital Punishment (Biblical):**

* **For:Old Testament Prescription (Genesis 9:6):** "Whoever sheds human blood by humans shall their blood be shed, for in the image of God has God made mankind." This is seen as a mandate for the death penalty based on the value of human life as created in God's image.
* Quote: "In favor of capital punishment, the argument is made that the Old Testament prescribes the death penalty, such as in Genesis 9, 6..."
* **New Testament Support (Romans 13:4-5):** The Apostle Paul refers to the governing authority wielding the "sword" as "God's servant, an agent of wrath, to bring punishment on the wrongdoer." The "sword" is interpreted as an instrument of death, implying approval of capital punishment.
* Quote: "Another argument in favor of capital punishment appeals to an important New Testament passage, specifically in Romans 13, where the Apostle Paul approvingly cites an instrument of death, the sword."
* **Against:Inconsistent Application of Old Testament Law:** If the Old Testament mandate is used to justify capital punishment for murder, why are other capital offenses (rape, kidnapping, etc.) not similarly enforced today? Also, modern society is not a theocracy.
* Quote: "A response to this, though, is that that's an inconsistent use of Old Testament law since there are a number of other crimes that warranted the death penalty in the Old Testament as well..."
* **Emphasis on Mercy (Matthew 5:7):** The biblical emphasis on mercy, as seen in Jesus' teachings ("Blessed are the merciful, for they will be shown mercy") and actions (the woman caught in adultery in John 8), suggests a move away from strict retributive justice.
* Quote: "In terms of arguments against capital punishment from a biblical standpoint, one line of reasoning appeals to the biblical emphasis on mercy..."
* **Mosaic Standards Outlawing Today's Application:** The Old Testament required two or more eyewitnesses, who were also required to participate in the execution. Modern practices often do not meet these standards, particularly the requirement of eyewitness participation, which served as a safeguard against false accusations.
* Quote: "Another anti-capital punishment argument in scripture appeals to the idea that the Mosaic standards would outlaw today's application of the death penalty, at least in many cases... two or more witnesses were required, and eyewitnesses had to help perform the execution."
* **Counter-argument:** These Old Testament requirements can be seen as procedural safeguards related to certainty of guilt, which might be achieved through other means today (e.g., DNA evidence). The underlying principle of "life for a life" (lex talionis) may still hold.

**Conclusion:**

Dr. Spiegel provides a comprehensive overview of the capital punishment debate, covering its legal history in the US, various philosophical theories of punishment, and both philosophical and theological arguments for and against its use. The session highlights the complexity of the issue, showcasing the tension between principles of justice, mercy, deterrence, and the inherent value of human life. The inclusion of opposing viewpoints from Bedau and Van Den Haag, as well as the nuanced discussion of biblical texts, encourages a critical engagement with the multifaceted ethical dimensions of capital punishment.
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**Capital Punishment: A Study Guide**

**Key Concepts and Cases:**

* **Capital Punishment/Death Penalty:** The legally authorized killing of someone as punishment for a crime.
* **Furman v. Georgia (1972):** Supreme Court case that ruled the death penalty as then administered was unconstitutional as cruel and unusual punishment due to its arbitrary application.
* **Gregg v. Georgia (1976):** Supreme Court case that ruled the death penalty is not inherently unconstitutional, citing evolving standards of decency, potential deterrence, and the possibility of non-arbitrary application.
* **McCluskey v. Kemp (1987):** Supreme Court case that upheld the death penalty despite statistical evidence showing racial disparities in its application (killers of white victims more likely to be executed).
* **Punishment (Olin & Berry definition):** Pain or harm inflicted by a rightful authority on a person judged guilty of violating a law or rule.
* **Deterrentism:** A theory of punishment that emphasizes discouraging wrongful behavior by the offender and others.
* **Reformativism/Rehabilitationism:** A theory of punishment focused on transforming the offender into a productive, law-abiding member of society.
* **Retributivism:** A theory of punishment that emphasizes giving offenders what they deserve as a just and fair response to their crime, respecting the autonomy of the offender and the value of the victim.
* **Utilitarianism:** A moral theory that justifies actions based on the benefits or harms they bring to society. Utilitarian approaches to punishment consider deterrence and rehabilitation.
* **Kantian Ethics:** A moral theory emphasizing duty and reason. In the context of capital punishment, some Kantians argue it respects the offender's autonomy.
* **Natural Law/Moral Theology:** Ethical frameworks often grounded in religious or inherent moral principles. Within this tradition, there is debate over capital punishment based on the sanctity of life.
* **Unequal Distribution Argument:** The critique that the death penalty is unfairly applied, often citing racial and socioeconomic disparities.
* **Miscarriages of Justice Argument:** The concern that innocent people may be wrongly convicted and executed.
* **Deterrence Argument:** The claim that capital punishment reduces the likelihood of capital crimes.
* **Cost Argument:** The debate over whether the death penalty is more or less expensive than life imprisonment.
* **Genesis 9:6:** Old Testament passage stating, "Whoever sheds human blood, by humans shall their blood be shed," often cited as a biblical justification for capital punishment.
* **Romans 13:4-5:** New Testament passage where the Apostle Paul mentions rulers carrying a "sword" as God's servants to punish wrongdoers, sometimes interpreted as supporting capital punishment.
* **Biblical Emphasis on Mercy:** Arguments against capital punishment that highlight the importance of compassion and forgiveness in scripture.
* **John 8 (Pericope Adulterae):** New Testament passage where Jesus intervenes to prevent the stoning of a woman accused of adultery, often used to argue against capital punishment, though its canonical status is debated.
* **Mosaic Standards for Capital Punishment:** Old Testament requirements for executions, such as the need for two or more eyewitnesses who participate in the execution.
* **Lex Talionis:** The principle of "an eye for an eye," often invoked in discussions of retributive justice and capital punishment.
* **Epistemological Guarantee:** Something that provides certainty or reliable knowledge, in this context referring to the role of multiple eyewitnesses in confirming guilt under Mosaic Law.

**Short-Answer Quiz:**

1. What were the Supreme Court's primary reasons for ruling the death penalty unconstitutional in Furman v. Georgia?
2. According to Olin and Berry's definition, what are the key components that constitute punishment?
3. Briefly explain the core difference between the retributive and deterrent theories of punishment.
4. How might a utilitarian justify or condemn capital punishment, and what factor becomes less relevant in this context?
5. Explain the Kantian argument that can be used to support capital punishment.
6. What is Hugo Bedau's main argument against the death penalty based on the idea of unequal distribution?
7. Summarize Ernest Van Den Haag's counter-argument to the concern about miscarriages of justice in capital punishment cases.
8. What is the central question in the debate about the deterrent effect of capital punishment?
9. What is the main argument made in favor of capital punishment based on Genesis 9:6? What is a common counter-argument to this point?
10. How is Romans 13:4-5 sometimes interpreted as supporting capital punishment? What is a typical response to this interpretation?

**Answer Key:**

1. The Supreme Court in Furman v. Georgia ruled that the death penalty as then administered was unconstitutional as cruel and unusual punishment. This was based on the fact that its application was arbitrary and inconsistent, with five different justices citing various reasons for this conclusion.
2. Olin and Berry define punishment as pain or harm inflicted by a rightful authority on a person who has been judged guilty of violating a law or rule. This definition emphasizes the intentional infliction of suffering by a legitimate power in response to a proven transgression.
3. Retributivism posits that punishment is primarily justified because the offender deserves it as a just and fair response to their crime. Deterrentism, on the other hand, focuses on the goal of preventing future wrongful behavior by the offender and others through the imposed punishment.
4. A utilitarian would justify or condemn capital punishment based on whether it maximizes overall societal well-being. If it demonstrably deters crime more effectively than other punishments, a utilitarian might support it. Rehabilitation becomes less relevant in the context of capital punishment.
5. Some Kantians argue that capital punishment respects the personal autonomy of the offender. They contend that by choosing to take another life, the offender is implicitly choosing to forfeit their own and is thus consenting to the death penalty as a just consequence.
6. Hugo Bedau argues against the death penalty due to its unequal distribution, highlighting the statistical evidence that killers of white people are significantly more likely to receive the death penalty than those who kill minorities. He believes this unjust application should lead to the abolition of capital punishment.
7. Ernest Van Den Haag argues that the possibility of miscarriages of justice, while tragic, should not necessarily lead to the abolition of capital punishment. He compares this to other societal activities like transportation and construction where innocent deaths occur but are not grounds for ceasing the activity altogether.
8. The central question in the debate about the deterrent effect of capital punishment is whether it serves as a significantly greater deterrent to capital crimes than alternative punishments, such as life imprisonment without parole. The argument is not about whether punishment deters crime in general.
9. The main argument in favor of capital punishment from Genesis 9:6 is that because humans are made in the image of God, the shedding of human blood warrants the forfeiture of the perpetrator's life. A common counter-argument is that this selectively applies Old Testament law, as many other offenses also carried the death penalty then but are not considered capital crimes today.
10. Romans 13:4-5 is sometimes interpreted as supporting capital punishment because it describes governing authorities as God's servants who "bear the sword" to bring punishment on wrongdoers, with the "sword" being seen as an instrument of death. A typical response is that the context of this passage is broader, concerning submission to governing authorities regarding matters like taxes, and is not specifically focused on capital crimes.

**Essay Format Questions:**

1. Analyze and compare the philosophical arguments for and against capital punishment presented in the source material, focusing on the concepts of retributivism, deterrence, and the value of human life.
2. Evaluate the effectiveness of the counter-arguments made by Ernest Van Den Haag in response to Hugo Bedau's criticisms of capital punishment, particularly regarding the issues of unequal application and miscarriages of justice.
3. Discuss the biblical arguments both for and against capital punishment, examining the interpretation of key Old and New Testament passages and considering the challenges of applying ancient texts to modern legal and ethical debates.
4. Critically assess the significance of the Supreme Court cases Furman v. Georgia and Gregg v. Georgia in the history and application of capital punishment in the United States.
5. Explore the ethical implications of potential errors in the justice system when considering capital punishment, and discuss whether the risk of executing innocent individuals outweighs the purported benefits of the death penalty.

 **Glossary of Key Terms:**

* **Arbitrary Application:** The inconsistent and unpredictable use of a law or punishment, often based on factors other than the merits of the case.
* **Autonomy:** The capacity of an individual to make their own informed and uncoerced decisions.
* **Canonical Status:** The recognition of a text as part of the official and authoritative collection of sacred writings (e.g., the Bible).
* **Cruel and Unusual Punishment:** A phrase in the Eighth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution prohibiting excessively harsh or unusual forms of punishment.
* **Due Process:** Fair treatment through the normal judicial system, especially as a citizen's entitlement.
* **Epistemological:** Relating to the theory of knowledge, especially with regard to its methods, validity, and scope.
* **Exonerated:** Officially cleared of blame or wrongdoing, especially after a conviction.
* **Felony:** A serious criminal offense, usually punishable by imprisonment for more than one year or by death.
* **Hegelian Philosophy:** A philosophical system emphasizing the role of reason and dialectic in understanding historical and social development.
* **Hiatus:** A pause or gap in a sequence, series, or process.
* **Inconsistent Use of Old Testament Law:** Selectively applying certain Old Testament laws while ignoring others.
* **Lethal Injection:** A method of execution in which a condemned person is administered one or more drugs that cause rapid and painless death.
* **Misdemeanor:** A less serious criminal offense than a felony, usually punishable by a fine or imprisonment for less than one year.
* **Moral Theology:** A branch of theology that studies moral principles and conduct in light of religious faith.
* **Mosaic Law:** The body of laws derived from the Torah, the first five books of the Hebrew Bible, attributed to Moses.
* **Natural Law:** A system of moral and ethical principles that are believed to be inherent in human nature and discoverable through reason.
* **Pericope Adulterae:** A specific passage in the Gospel of John (7:53-8:11) concerning Jesus and a woman accused of adultery, whose authenticity is debated.
* **Procedural Matters:** Aspects of legal proceedings concerning the method and rules by which cases are handled, as opposed to the substance of the law.
* **Sanctity of Life:** The belief that human life is sacred and possesses intrinsic value.
* **Theocracy:** A system of government in which priests rule in the name of God or a god.
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**5. FAQs on Spiegel, Christian Ethics, Session 15, Capital Punishment, Biblicalelearning.org (BeL)**
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**Frequently Asked Questions on Capital Punishment**

**1. What was the significance of the Supreme Court cases *Furman v. Georgia* (1972) and *Gregg v. Georgia* (1976) in the history of capital punishment in the United States?**

*Furman v. Georgia* in 1972 led to the Supreme Court ruling that the death penalty, as it was then administered across various states using methods like electrocution, gas chamber, hanging, and firing squad, constituted cruel and unusual punishment and was therefore unconstitutional. This effectively placed a moratorium on capital punishment in the U.S. However, this prohibition was short-lived. In 1976, *Gregg v. Georgia* reversed this stance, stating that the death penalty is not inherently unconstitutional. The Court suggested that it could align with societal standards of decency, serve as a deterrent (though this is debated), and not be arbitrarily applied, thus allowing states to reinstate capital punishment under revised statutes.

**2. How do different philosophical perspectives (utilitarianism, Kantian ethics, natural law) approach the morality of capital punishment?**

Utilitarianism evaluates capital punishment based on its potential benefits and harms to society, emphasizing deterrence and, where possible, rehabilitation. Utilitarians might support the death penalty if it demonstrably deters crime, but they would likely oppose it if it leads to more harm or if life imprisonment serves as a better deterrent. Kantian ethics, with its focus on personal autonomy, often argues for capital punishment as respecting the offender's will. The idea is that by taking a life, the offender implicitly chooses to forfeit their own. Natural law and moral theology traditions consider the sanctity of life as a central principle. Within this tradition, there is disagreement on whether capital punishment can be justified today, balancing the value of life with the concept of just punishment for taking a life.

**3. What is the general definition of punishment provided in the source, and how does it relate to the discussion of capital punishment?**

The source defines punishment as "pain or harm inflicted by a rightful authority on a person who has been judged guilty of violating a law or rule." This general definition is the foundation for discussing capital punishment, framing it as the most severe form of pain or harm that can be inflicted by the state. By establishing this definition, the discussion can then delve into whether this extreme form of punishment is ever justifiable, considering its purposes and potential consequences within the broader framework of justice and societal order.

**4. What are the main arguments raised against capital punishment based on its application in the United States, as presented by critic Hugo Bedau?**

Hugo Bedau raises several key criticisms. One is the problem of unequal distribution, highlighting the statistical disparity where individuals who kill white victims are significantly more likely to receive the death penalty than those who kill minority victims. He argues that this unjust application should lead to the abolition of capital punishment. Another major concern is the risk of miscarriages of justice, pointing to numerous cases of individuals sentenced to death who were later exonerated. Bedau argues that the irreversible nature of the death penalty makes this risk unacceptable. Finally, he raises a utilitarian argument based on cost, suggesting that the extensive legal processes associated with capital punishment create a massive financial burden on society compared to life imprisonment.

**5. How does proponent Ernest van den Haag respond to the arguments against capital punishment raised by critics like Hugo Bedau?**

Ernest van den Haag offers rebuttals to Bedau's arguments. Regarding unequal application, van den Haag argues that the unjust application of a punishment does not inherently make the punishment itself unjust; rather, it necessitates efforts to apply it more fairly. Concerning the risk of executing innocent people, he compares this to other societal activities (like transportation and construction) where accidental deaths occur, suggesting that the benefits of capital punishment (primarily justice) outweigh this unfortunate consequence. In response to the cost argument, van den Haag contends that the long-term expenses of housing and caring for individuals serving life sentences should also be considered, suggesting that the costs of capital punishment and life imprisonment might be comparable. He also emphasizes that the primary justification for capital punishment is not deterrence or cost-effectiveness but retributive justice – the idea that the punishment should fit the crime.

**6. What are some of the biblical arguments used to support capital punishment, and what are the counterarguments presented in the source?**

Proponents of capital punishment sometimes cite Genesis 9:6 ("Whoever sheds human blood, by humans shall their blood be shed"), arguing that the death penalty is divinely sanctioned due to humanity being made in God's image. They also point to Romans 13, where the Apostle Paul refers to governing authorities wielding the "sword" as agents of God's wrath against wrongdoers, interpreting the sword as an instrument of death. Counterarguments to the Old Testament passage note the inconsistency of selectively applying death penalty laws from that era (as it prescribed death for offenses beyond murder). Regarding Romans 13, it is argued that the context is about general submission to authorities and taxation, not specifically about capital crimes.

**7. What are some of the biblical arguments used against capital punishment, and how are these arguments addressed?**

Arguments against capital punishment from a biblical perspective often emphasize mercy, citing Jesus's words in Matthew 5 ("Blessed are the merciful...") and the story of the woman caught in adultery in John 8, where Jesus seemingly refrains from upholding the death penalty. Another argument points to Mosaic law's requirements for capital punishment, such as the necessity of two or more eyewitnesses who also had to participate in the execution, arguing that modern applications do not meet these standards. Responses to the mercy argument suggest that Jesus's act in John 8 might have been due to procedural issues (the absence of the woman's partner) and that it doesn't necessarily abolish capital punishment in all circumstances. The argument regarding Mosaic procedural laws is often countered by stating that these are procedural matters and that the underlying principle of "life for a life" (lex talionis) transcends specific procedures, with modern methods like genetic testing potentially providing equivalent certainty of guilt. The contested nature of the John 8 passage in reliable biblical manuscripts is also noted.

**8. What is the concept of retributivism as a theory of punishment, and how does it relate to the debate on capital punishment?**

Retributivism is a theory of punishment that posits that punishment is primarily justified because the offender deserves it. It views punishment as a fair and just response to a crime, respecting both the autonomy of the offender and the value of the victim. In the context of capital punishment, retributivists often argue that taking a human life warrants the forfeiture of the offender's own life as a matter of justice – the punishment should be proportionate to the crime. Ernest van den Haag strongly emphasizes this perspective, arguing that the main justification for capital punishment is not deterrence or other consequentialist benefits but the fundamental principle of just deserts.

Bottom of Form

Top of Form

Top of Form

Bottom of Form

Top of Form

Top of Form

Bottom of Form

Top of Form